BEST AVAILABLE CODY

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	Applicant's or agent's file reference P127978-PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT//PEA/416					
	International application	on No. 141	International filing of 04.08.2004	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mo			
-	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K35/78, A61P9/06							
6	Applicant LABORATORIO CATARINENSE S/A et al.							
O	· ·		application application	ant according to Affici	this International Prelimine 36.	nary Examining		
	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:							
	a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:							
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of Administrative Instructions).						asis of this report tion 607 of the		
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendme beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as Indicated in item 4 of Box No. Supplemental Box.								
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), contain sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
					e instructions).			
	4. This report cont	ains indications relat	ting to the following	items:				
\bigcirc	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opinio	n					
. •	☐ Box No. II	Priority						
	☑ Box No. III	Non-establishment	t of opinion with rea	ard to novolty inventi-	e step and industrial appl			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inv	ention	ara to noverty, inventive	e step and industrial appi	cability		
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents	cited	., 5				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in t	he international app	olication		ļ		
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report								
04.03.2005 22.11.2005								
	Name and mailing addres preliminary examining au	s of the international		Authorized Officer				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Escolar Blasco, P								
L	1 ax. 749 b	, EUJA - 440D		Telephone No. +49 89 2	2399-7331	A Date of the same		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/BR2004/000141

	_				
	_	В	x No. I	Basis of the report	_
	• 1	i. Wit filed	h regard d, unless	d to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.	ıs
				eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
			☐ jubl	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
	2		n regard <i>e been f</i>	I to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed* and are not annexed to this report):</i>	7
0		Desc	cription,	, Pages	
		1-16		as originally filed	
		Clair	ns, Numi	nbers	
		1-22		as originally filed	
		Draw	ings, Sh	heets	
		1/11-	11/11	as originally filed	
			a sequer	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
	3.		The ame	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		[J the de J. the cla	lescription, pages laims, Nos.	
			the dr	rawings, sheets/figs	
C		Ċ	any ta	equence listing (specify): able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	4.	Suppl	emental	ort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
		. [I the de I the cla	escription, pages laims, Nos.	
			the dra	rawings, sheets/figs	
			any tal	equence listing (specify): able(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
		* II	f item	1 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/BR2004/000141

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	1. Ti	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-10, 15-18 in re	to IA				
		because:					
	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 15-18 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
		see separate sheet					
)		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unc that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opicould be formed.					
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.					
		the written form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
				does not comply with the standard			
		the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
		See separate sheet for further details					

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10, 15-22

No: Claims

11-14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-10, 15-22

No:

Claims

11-14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

11-14,19-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

Comments on item III

Claims 1-10 and 15-18 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Comments on item V

 The documents cited in the International Search Report correspond respectively to D1-D2. Any reference to the documents in the present written opinion relates to the passages given in said report, unless otherwise indicated.

D1: WO 02/096441 A D2: WO 02/096443 A

- 2. The pharmaceutical compositions of claims 11-14 cannot be regarded as novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT: both the plant extracts from Trichilia sp. and the preparation Catuama are well known in the field of phytotherapy (see, for instance D1 or D2).
 It should be noted that the claims are directed to a product per se and the intended use does not modify their scope. The wording "...for combatting/reverting..." is construed as "suitable for combatting/reverting..." (cf. PCT Guidelines, III, 4.8).
- 3. Claims referring to the particular medical use/method of treatment are novel over the available prior art: D2 appears to be the closest prior art document with respect to the subject-matter of claims 1-10 and 15-22, since it deals with the use of catuama for treating or preventing certain cardiovascular disorders, including myocardial infarction. This document fails however to mention any antiarrhythmic activity or defibrillation.

Ventricular fibrillation (VF) may happen after a myocardial infarction, but it is not

necessarily the case. There are only a few drugs which offer protection from VF, whereas many have been proposed for protecting against myocardial infarction. Furthermore, defibrillation by antiarrhythmics is very rare. Hence, the skilled person would not consider that a drug offering protection from myocardial infarction would be effective for VF.

4. For the assessment of the present claims 1-10 and 15-18 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.